

# WE'VE FILED!

Dear National Class Action Members,

We have Filed our Federal Court Class Action!

We have been working tirelessly to review all of your submissions and ensure that we take the best actions moving forward.

As you are aware, many of the cases that have already been filed in the Supreme Court of NSW, including the *Kassam matter*, the *Henry matter*, the *Larter matter* and a number of other matters, which have not been successful.

Whilst we did have concerns about the veracity of the arguments placed on those cases; we have realised, that putting too many plaintiffs and applicants forward, will only increase the exposure to personal liability and costs.

Our original aim was to file the same type of matter, in every jurisdiction (state and territory); however, this would be a very costly exercise and ultimately result in duplicity. For this reason, we have focussed on our original applicants whom were health care works (primarily nurses in NSW), and have spearheaded two cases that deal with;

1. A *merits review* in the Civil and Administrative tribunal of NSW, that will examine the merits of the decisions made to mandate vaccination for health care workers. This was to involve dismantling the science and bringing in relevant experts. We had identified a nurse willing to come forward; however, when we filed, we realised that another nurse had already placed a similar application and she was not legally represented. Her matter had already been heard and a decision about whether or not the tribunal had jurisdiction to hear a merits review was pending. We immediately filed an application with extensive legal grounds seeking to assist and be heard in that matter, however, coincidentally, the NCAT Judges handed down an adverse decision the day before our matter was listed to be heard.

This is despite the fact, that NSW is the only Public Health Act across Australia, that allows for a *merit review*, however, the Judges at NCAT decided that we were not permitted to have a merit review. It is evident that our case was so powerful, we experienced changes to Public Health Orders literally the day we filed, not to mention many other coincidental obstacles thrown our way. We have since filed an appeal and we will pursue this all the way to the High Court, if necessary, as we adamantly believe that our stronger arguments, are those based on dismantling the science. We enclose herein, the [reasons for decision](#), which we obviously do not agree with, and our [appeal response](#). The appeal is scheduled to be heard on 11 February 2022.

2. A judicial review that is framed as a class action, that will challenge the legalities of the mandating of the vaccines. The basis of this challenge, is the deep contradictions between the Public Health Acts in each state and territory, as compared to the *Biosecurity Act* on the Federal level. Essentially; we are claiming our rights that are protected under the Biosecurity act for individualised orders, symptom checks, right of review, risk assessments and informed consent, to name a few. This appears to have disappeared

under state and territory mandates, despite the existence of a nationally declared emergency. However, instead of filing the exact same claim in each state and territory, we have focussed on NSW as the testing ground; this case will in turn, have implications for all states and territories. For your benefit, we have enclosed the filings being the [originating application](#) and the [statement of claim](#).

Lastly, we are also preparing a case on behalf of vaccine injured children and adults against Pfizer as the test case, and also the TGA in relation to the Covid-19 vaccine approval use in this country, with a view of having a lead whom is a vaccine injured child. We will keep you informed about the progress of that case.

We assure you that our team will continue to be the voice of those who have been suppressed in our Country and will never give up the fight for human rights and freedoms.

Yours Sincerely,

Peter Maatouk

Maatouks Law Group

Administratively supported by Serene Teffaha of Advocate Me

Legally supported by Ben Clemens of Clemens Haskins Legal